#### **Internal Controls Risk Assessment Tool Instructions**

This internal risk assessment tool is used by the [name of LEA] to evaluate and quantify the risks associated with the operation or performance of the Local Educational Agency (LEA) internal controls regarding its administration of its federal education funds. See 2 CFR § 200.303.

The [insert office] aims to complete this assessment on an annual basis.

#### **Tool Overview**

The Risk Assessment Tool comprises multiple sections, each addressing specific aspects of the grant administration process in accordance with State and local requirements, the Uniform Grants Guidance (2 CFR Part 200) (UGG) and the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR).

Prior to each year's review, the [insert office] must review the Tool and determine whether additional areas must be reviewed to address recent audit or monitoring findings, or other concerns raised by LEA staff. [Note: LEAs must tailor this template to ensure it addresses all areas of concern and compliance. In addition, if there are State and/or local rules that are stricter than the federal standards (for example, many States and/or local governing bodies have lower thresholds for procurement and equipment), the stricter standard must be incorporated and assessed.]

In each section, there is a set of questions related to potential risks and compliance issues, all tied to the federal requirements under the UGG. The response to each question informs a risk rating of low risk, moderate risk, or high risk. The key below provides some definitions and examples to assist the LEA with making the risk determination, but ultimately the risk rating is up to the LEA, factoring in existing internal controls, LEA priorities, and other risk tolerances.

### Defining the Risk Rating

Each factor falls into one of three categories (low, moderate, or high) based on the information identified below. [Note: This template proposes definitions of each category and examples to guide the LEA in making each risk determination. However, the District must modify this Tool and risk rating process to best suit the unique needs of the LEA.]

Low Risk — Factors that are determined to be low risk are those for which the LEA has current, updated internal controls in place that foster compliant administration of Federal grants. The following are examples of how some factors might be categorized as low risk.

- Does the LEA have a process to ensure costs are adequately documented to demonstrate costs are necessary and allowable under applicable programs?
  - o *Response/Notes:* Yes, the District has written allowability procedures outlining the process for determining that costs are necessary, reasonable, and allocable. Procedures were updated within the last three years and reflect current practices. No recent audit or monitoring findings on allowability or questioned costs.
  - Employee interview and/or documentation reviewed reflects these written allowability procedures and an understanding of the Federal rules by LEA staff responsible for making allowability determinations.
- Does the LEA ensure all lost, stolen, and damaged property is investigated?
  - Response/Notes: Yes, the District has internal controls in place to prevent and investigate all instances of loss, damage, or theft (check in/out forms, maintenance plans, investigative process, etc.).
    - The LEA maintains a record of investigations showing few instances of loss, damage, or theft.
    - Employee interview and/or documentation reviewed would reflect these strong internal controls.

Moderate Risk — Factors that are determined to be moderate risk are those for which the LEA has some existing internal controls in place, but they may require updating or may not fully cover the breadth required under the Federal rules. The following are examples of how some factors might be categorized as moderate risk.

- Does the LEA have a process to ensure costs are adequately documented to demonstrate costs are necessary and allowable under applicable programs?
  - Response/Notes: The LEA has some allowability procedures in place, but they have not been updated in years and/or
    no longer reflect current practices of the LEA <u>or</u> the LEA has current procedures in place but are missing some of the
    Federal requirements.
  - Employee interview and/or documentation reviewed might indicate that there is some knowledge of the Federal
    allowability rules that could be improved upon with additional internal controls and clear written processes.
- Does the LEA ensure all lost, stolen, and damaged property is investigated?
  - Response/Notes: The LEA has some internal controls in place to prevent and investigate instances of loss, damage, and theft, but the record of such investigations is not clear and/or the investigation procedures are not in writing.

• Employee interview and/or documentation reviewed might reflect some knowledge of how to maintain Federal equipment, but a lack of clarity on what the procedures are to investigate instances of loss, damage, and theft.

High Risk – Factors that are determined to be high risk are those for which the LEA either has no internal controls in place or the internal controls are so outdated that staff are untrained on them. High risk factors are likely to be areas where the LEA has received recent findings in audits or monitoring visits.

- Does the LEA have a process to ensure costs are adequately documented to demonstrate costs are necessary and allowable under applicable programs?
  - Response/Notes: The LEA either does not have any written allowability procedures, or the existing allowability procedures are so outdated that they are not used and/or employees are no longer trained on them. May also have questioned costs or pending corrective actions in recent audits or monitoring.
  - Employee interview and/or documentation reviewed might indicate that there is a significant lack of knowledge regarding Federal allowability rules and/or lack of written policies and procedures.
- Does the LEA ensure all lost, stolen, and damaged property is investigated?
  - Response/Notes: The LEA does not have internal controls in place to prevent or investigate loss, damage, and theft of property, or the internal controls are so outdated that they are no longer in use and employees are not trained on them. There is either no record of the LEA's investigations, or a high volume of instances involving loss, damage, or theft.
  - Employee interview and/or documentation reviewed might reflect a lack of knowledge of how to maintain Federal
    equipment, especially around the process for investigating instances of loss, damage, and theft.

### Interpreting the Risk Rating

After the LEA determines the risk rating for each factor, the LEA uses the ratings to identify areas that may require further attention, such as implementing or changing current internal controls to reduce the level of risk. The LEA uses the obtained risk ratings to inform decision-making, prioritize action steps to mitigate risk, and contribute to overall compliance with Federal requirements. Examples of some internal controls that may be considered, as required, are located in Part 6 of the annual OMB Compliance Supplement, located at: <a href="https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/office-federal-financial-management/">https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/office-federal-financial-management/</a>; or the Government Accountability Office Green Book, located at: <a href="https://www.gao.gov/greenbook">https://www.gao.gov/greenbook</a>.

Name, Position, and Office of Reviewer:	
Date of Assessment:	

	Internal Controls Risk Assessment				
General Internal Controls re: Overall LEA Risk Questions	Citation	Documentation Reviewed/ Staff Interviewed	Notes	Risk Determination and Recommended Next Steps (as applicable)	
Has the LEA had any significant systems changes in the past fiscal year, or does the LEA anticipate any systems changes in the next year? Significant systems might include financial management or accounting systems, grants management systems, etc.	2 CFR § 200.303(a)				
Does the LEA currently have high carryover from the prior year in any Federal program (50% or greater)?	n/a				
In the last two fiscal years, did the LEA expend all Federal grant funds without lapsing any by the end of the period of performance?	n/a				
Has the LEA previously received all Federal awards currently being administered (e.g., none of the awards are new to the LEA this year)?	n/a				

What is the average relevant	n/a		
experience in years of the Federal			
Program staff and fiscal staff?			
If there were any vacancies at the	n/a		
LEA within the past fiscal year,			
did the LEA successfully fill those			
positions?			
Does the LEA provide training (or	n/a		
permit staff to attend training) on			
Federal grants compliance for			
staff administering Federal grant			
funds at least annually?			
Does the LEA have all the	2 CFR §§		
required policies and procedures	200.318;		
and ensure they are reviewed at	200.403;		
least every three years? Have all	200.302(b)		
relevant staff members received	(7)		
training on written policies in the			
past 12 months?			
Is there generally a separation of	n/a		
duties across grants-related			
offices? If not, what controls are			
in place to ensure compliance?			
Did the LEA timely submit its	34 CFR §§		
applications, budgets and any	76.708;		
required amendments, per the	76.710;		
awarding agency deadlines?	2 CFR §		
	200.308		

Financial Management and Allowability Questions	Citation	Documentation Reviewed/ Staff Interviewed	Notes	Risk Determination and Recommended Next Steps (as applicable)
Does the financial management system permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditures adequate to establish that such funds have been used only for allowable purposes under each program and budget line item?	2 CFR § 200.302(b)			
Does the LEA timely request reimbursements (e.g., monthly)? Does the LEA track reimbursements and cash advances and ensure interest is calculated on any cash advances (remitting any interest back to USED, as required?)	2 CFR § 200.305			
Does the LEA ensure that costs are only incurred during approved budget periods?	2 CFR § 200.403(h)			
Does the LEA have a process to ensure costs are adequately documented to demonstrate costs are necessary and allowable under applicable programs?	2 CFR § 200.403(g)			
Does the LEA ensure all travel expenses are allowable (e.g. obtain prior approval for travel, track advances, etc.)?	2 CFR § 200.475			

Does the LEA ensure that	2 CFR §		
applicable credits are applied to	200.406		
the appropriate Federal grant?			
Does the LEA request prior	2 CFR §		
written approval from the SEA (or	200.407		
USED as required) for purchases			
of equipment, capital			
expenditures, and other costs			
where prior approval is required?			
Does the LEA have a process for	2 CFR §		
tracking matching or cost sharing	200.306		
contributions?			
Does the LEA ensure all Federally	2 CFR §		
funded (in part or in whole)	200.430(i)		
employees complete time and			
effort documentation in a timely			
manner and that the			
documentation is used to			
reconcile budgets to actual time			
worked?			

Purchasing/Procurement Questions	Citation	Documentation Reviewed/ Staff Interviewed	Notes	Risk Determination and Recommended Next Steps (as applicable)
Does the LEA ensure all	2 CFR §			
employees understand and	200.318			
comply with the LEA's conflict of				
interest requirements?				
Does the LEA ensure that the	2 CFR §			
proper method of procurement is	200.320			
used for each purchase made at				
the LEA?				
When possible, are minority	2 CFR §			
businesses, women's business	200.321			
enterprises, and labor surplus				
area firms considered?				
Does the LEA, to the greatest	2 CFR §			
extent provide a preference for	200.322			
the purchase, acquisition, or use				
of goods, products, or materials				
produced in the United States?				
Does the LEA include the contract	2 CFR §			
provisions described in appendix	200.327;			
II of 2 CFR Part 200 when	Appendix II			
contracting with vendors and providers?				

Equipment and Property  Management Questions	Citation	Documentation Reviewed/ Staff	Notes	Risk Determination and Recommended Next Steps (as
		Interviewed		applicable)
Does the LEA ensure that a	2 CFR §			
physical inventory of the	200.313			
property is conducted, and the				
results reconciled with the				
property records at least once				
every two years?				
Does the LEA ensure all	2 CFR §			
equipment and other items, as	200.313			
required, are inventoried (placed				
on an inventory listing) and that				
the inventory listing includes all				
the required information?				
Does the LEA ensure all lost,	2 CFR §			
stolen and damaged property is	200.313			
investigated?				
Does the LEA ensure that all	2 CFR §§			
equipment and supplies	200.313;			
purchased with Federal funds	200.314			
comply with the disposition				
requirements when no longer				
needed?				

Reporting, Monitoring, and Audits Questions	Citation	Documentation Reviewed/ Staff Interviewed	Notes	Risk Determination and Recommended Next Steps (as applicable)
Does the LEA submit all financial,	2 CFR §§			
performance, and other reports	200.329;			
as required, no later than 90 days	200.344(a)			
after the period of performance?				
Does the LEA review its school-	2 CFR §			
level activities (each program,	200.329(a)			
function, or activity) under				
Federal awards to ensure				
compliance with applicable				
Federal requirements and				
performance expectations are				
being achieved?				
Does the LEA obtain an annual	2 CFR §			
single audit as required by	200.332(f)			
Subpart F?	_			
If the LEA received audit or	2 CFR §			
monitoring findings within the	200.508(c)			
last fiscal year, has it resolved the				
findings or implemented a				
corrective action plan?				
Did the LEA have any cross-	2 CFR §§			
cutting monitoring findings	200.339;			
within the last year? If so, did the	200.340			
District ensure that all corrective				
actions were made in a timely manner?				

Does the LEA have record	2 CFR §§		
retention policies and procedures	200.334;		
-	'		
that have been updated in the	200.336;		
last three years? Including	200.338		
collection, transmission, and			
storage of information, and			
protection of protected			
personally identifiable			
information (PII)?			
Has the LEA taken any reasonable	2 CFR §		
cybersecurity or other measures	200.303(e)		
to safeguard information,			
including personally identifiable			
information (PII) or other			
information designated as			
sensitive by the LEA or SEA?			